Cluster Development Programme

[10 August, 2016]

2737. DR. K.V.P. RAMACHANDRA RAO: Will the Minister of MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

- (a) whether interventions under Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs) Cluster Development Programme have proved beneficial in capacity building of the MSEs;
 - (b) if so, the details thereof; and
 - (c) the interventions taken up under MSE-CDP programme so far?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SHRI HARIBHAI PARTHIBHAI CHAUDHARY): (a) Yes Sir

- (b) The networking approach through the MSE-Cluster Development Programme of the Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises has helped the MSE Clusters to overcome barriers such as technological obsolescence, supply chain incompetence, global competition and investment shortages. It has reached out to a large number of enterprises, facilitating economics of scale in terms of deployment of resources, such as testing facility, design centre, production centre, effluent treatment plant, training Centre, R&D centre, raw material bank/sales depot, product display centre, information centre etc. These interventions have increased the turnover and export of the cluster units and even favoured setting up of new units in the cluster thereby generating more employment.
- (c) The details of interventions taken up under MSE-CDP programme so far are given in Statement.

Statement

Details of interventions taken-up and completed under MSE-CDP programme

(A) Ongoing interventions under MSE-CDP as on 04.08.2016

Sl.	No. State/UT	Soft Interventions	Hard Interventions (CFC)	Total
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh		1.	1
2.	Gujarat		$1_{\scriptscriptstyle \odot}$	1
3.	Haryana		7	7
4.	Karnataka		5	5

346	Written Answers to	[RAJYA SABHA]	Unstarre	d Question
1	2	3	4	5
5.	Kerala		5	5
6.	Maharashtra		13	13
7.	Manipur	6		6
8.	Meghalaya	1		1
9.	Nagaland	5		5
10.	Odisha		3	3
11.	Punjab		1	1
12.	Rajasthan		1.	1
13.	Tamil Nadu		19	19
14.	Uttar Pradesh		3.	3
15.	West Bengal		9	9
	Total	12	68	80
	(B) Completed interv	entions under MSE-CI	OP as on 04.08.20)16
1.	Andhra Pradesh	8	1	9
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	2		2
3.	Assam	13	1	14
4.	Bihar	17	1	18
5.	Chhattisgarh	2		2
6.	Delhi	3		3
7.	Goa	2	1	3
8.	Gujarat	3	1	4
9.	Haryana	4		4
10.	Himachal Pradesh	3		3
11.	Jammu and Kashmir	3	1.	4
12.	Jharkhand	3		3
13.	Karnataka	15	3	18

Written Answers to		[10 August, 2016]	Unstarred Questions	347
1	2	3	4	5
14.	Kerala	28	8	36
15.	Madhya Pradesh	5		5
16.	Maharashtra	32	2	34
17.	Manipur	3		3
18.	Meghalaya	2		2
19.	Mizoram	3		3
20.	Nagaland	2		2
21.	Odisha	10	1	11
22.	Punjab	16		16
23.	Rajasthan	17		17
24.	Sikkim	1		1
25.	Tamil Nadu	9	11	20
25.	Telangana	7		7
27.	Tripura	2		2
28.	Uttar Pradesh	44	2	46
29.	Uttarakhand	2		2
30.	West Bengal	39	2	41
	Total	300	35	335

(C) Status of Ongoing and Completed Infrastructure Development Projects (under MSE-CDP)

S1. 3	No. State/UT	Ongoing	Completed	Total
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1	4	5
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	1	0	1
3.	Assam	4	11	15
4.	Bihar	0	0	0
5.	Chhattisgarh	1	4	5
6.	Delhi	0	0	0
7.	Goa	0	0	0
8.	Gujarat	0	2	2

Sl. N	No. State/UT	Ongoing	Completed	Total
9.	Haryana	6	22	28
10.	Himachal Pradesh	О	1	1
11.	Jammu and Kashmir	2	4	6
12.	Jharkhand	0	0	0
13.	Karnataka	1	4	5
14.	Kerala	0	8	8
15.	Madhya Pradesh	1	10	11
16.	Maharashtra	1	4	5
17.	Manipur	6	0	6
18.	Meghalaya	0	0	0
19.	Mizoram	0	2	2
20.	Nagaland	O	1	1
21.	Odisha	0	3	3
22.	Punjab	Ö	2	2
23.	Rajasthan	6	9	15
24.	Sikkim	Ō	O	0
25.	Tamil Nadu	3	29	32
26.	Telangana	3	0	3
27.	Tripura	3	1	4
28.	Uttar Pradesh	3	8	11
29.	Uttarkhand	0	3	3
30.	West Bengal	1	4	5
	Total	43	136	179

Setting up of Technology Acquisition and Development Fund

†2738. SHRI AMAR SHANKAR SABLE: Will the Minister of COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has set up Technology Acquisition and Development Fund to provide clean, green and cost effective energy technology to the small industries;

[†]Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.