

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) whether Government and the Sangathan have taken any corrective steps on the complaints;

(e) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor; and

(f) the steps that Government are contemplating to control this malpractice?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT [DR. (SHRIMATI) RITA VERMA]: (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) Some complaints were received alleging tuitions undertaken by teachers of KV, Nasirabad. However, on investigation, the complaints could not be established.

(d) to (f) The Teachers and all other officials of Kendriya Vidyalayas are covered by the prescribed conduct rules which act as a deterrent against this malpractice. The schools have been authorised to organise extra classes on payment from students which is expected to reduce this malpractice. The instructions banning private tuitions are also bring reiterated afresh.

Benefit of Education Policy for Disabled Children

1397. SHRI R. KAMRAJ: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the disabled children are being benefited by the National Policy on Education, 1986;

(b) if so, the number of children benefited thereby, State-wise and year-wise; and

(c) the total amount spent for this purpose, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT [DR. (SHRIMATI) RITA VERMA]: (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. As per the National Policy on Education, children with mild and moderate disability are expected to enrol in main stream or regular school system. Many such children are coming to regular schools with the initiatives of local community, teachers and State Governments as also under the intervention of District Primary Education Programme (DPEP) at the primary level.

Government of India also runs a Centrally Sponsored Scheme namely Integrated Education for Disabled Children (IEDC) under which it provides financial assistance to the State Governments and Non Government Organisations for giving certain facilities and academic support to the children.

The number of such children benefited State-wise under IEDC scheme and total amount released under the IEDC scheme, State-wise have been shown in the Statement I and Statement II respectively.

Statement-I

Details of Children covered under the Scheme of IEDC

As on 11.3.2002

State/UT		Children			
		Upto 31 March 01 (old)	As on 11 Mar 2002	Total	
		1	2	3	4(2+3)
Andhra Pradesh	State	1328	—	1328	
	NGO	352	147	499	
Arunachal Pradesh	State	—	44	44	
	NGO	—	—	—	
Assam	State	1000	—	1000	
	NGO	30	50	80	
Bihar	State	1000	—	1000	
	NGO	49	351	400	
Chhatisgarh	State	—	—	—	
	NGO	0	355	355	
Gujarat	State*	22098	—	22098	
Haryana	State	5976	—	5976	
	NGO	222	100	322	
Himachal Pradesh	State	3796	—	3796	
	NGO	—	—	—	

		1	2	3	4 (2+3)
Jharkhand	State	—	—	—	—
	NGO	—	100	100	100
Karnataka	State*	11826	4999	16825	16825
Kerala	State	26000	4100	30100	30100
	NGO	119	—	119	119
Madhya Pradesh	State	5417	17218	22635	22635
	NGO	728	43	771	771
Maharashtra	State	1066	—	1066	1066
	NGO	416	145	561	561
Manipur	State	1000	—	1000	1000
	NGO	—	—	—	—
Mizoram	State	1638	230	1913	1913
	NGO	—	—	—	—
Nagaland	State	1154	1500	2654	2654
	NGO	—	115	115	115
Orissa	State	2296	300	2596	2596
	NGO	5196	122	5318	5318
Punjab	State	—	—	0	0
	NGO	—	—	—	—
Rajasthan	State	2000	—	2000	2000
	NGO	—	—	—	—
Tamil Nadu	State	675	—	675	675
	NGO	1683	264	1947	1947
Tripura	State	315	590	905	905
	NGO	—	—	—	—
Uttar Pradesh	State	—	—	0	0
	NGO	667	105	772	772
West Bengal	State	867	182	1049	1049
	NGO	169	747	916	916
A & N Islands	UT	942	70	1012	1012
	NGO	—	—	—	—

		1	2	3	4 (2+3)
Dadar & Nagar Haveli	UT		100	—	100
	NEO		—	—	—
Daman & Diu	UT		65	58	123
	NEO		—	—	—
Delhi	UT		354	—	354
	NGO		392	150	542
Pondicherry	UT		—	—	—
	NGO		22	—	22
TOTAL	State/UT Sector		90958	29291	120249
	NGO Sector		10045	2794	12839
	GRAND TOTAL		101003	32085	133088

*State Governments of Gujarat and Karnataka are implementing the scheme of IEDC through the NGOs/DIETs

Statement-II

Assistance to States/UTs (Including NGOs) Integrated Education for Disabled Children (IEDC) during Ninth Five Year Plan (1997-98 to 2001-02) (as on 11.3.2002)

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Name of the State/UT	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000	2000-01	2001-02
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	A.P.	44.21	122.5	29.57	—	11.19
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	1.00	—	—	3.99	—
3.	Assam.	13.00	—	—	1.42	2.09
4.	Bihar	—	—	—	—	12.54
5.	Chattisgarh	—	—	—	—	29.78
6.	Gujarat	106.23	41.60	323.44	337.62	545.79
7.	Haryana	25.17	10.65	86.38	21.24	26.54

[15 March, 2002]

RAJYA SABHA

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8.	Jharkhand	—	—	—	—	3.33
9.	HP	51.04	—	96.63	—	—
10.	J & K	—	—	—	—	—
11.	Karnataka	145.42	57.48	116.74	226.31	310.48
12.	Kerala	120.14	218.10	236.27	267.31	150.83
13.	M.P.	120.78	127.34	55.19	31.87	325.99
14.	Manipur	25.85	26.56	45.17	—	—
15.	Maharashtra	14.53	50.17	—	44.55	3.68
16.	Mizoram	6.94	11.46	15.50	22.41	13.67
17.	Nagaland	7.15	5.75	5.75	—	24.97
18.	Orissa	74.45	45.80	109.73	80.22	133.82
19.	Punjab	—	—	—	—	—
20.	Rajasthan	30.09	71.68	—	154.44	14.59
21.	Tamil Nadu	16.45	34.91	62.18	206.07	206.69
22.	Tripura	3.42	—	23.31	—	15.81
23.	U.P.	8.44	5.97	24.82	9.86	37.86
24.	West Bengal	10.95	6.68	12.00	2.72	31.26
25.	A & N Islands	14.82	14.25	16.62	15.43	8.55
26.	Chandigarh	—	—	—	—	—
27.	Delhi	38.24	28.67	29.42	61.29	74.86
28.	Daman & Diu	0.31	0.31	0.26	0.17	0.19
29.	Dadar & Nagar Haveli	—	0.38	—	—	—
30.	Pondicherry	—	—	1.04	3.69	4.25
TOTAL:		998.63	880.18	1290.02	1490.61	1988.76